



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/815,377	03/21/2001	Robert David Freeman	4154-11-CIP	3940
7590 11/17/2004			EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEIDI			WATKO, JULIE ANNE	
1726 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE SUITE 226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		2653	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200/	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action 09/815,377 FREEMAN ET AL.					
Examiner Art Unit					
Julie Anne Watko 2653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request f Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	eply to a lication in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in	a, may reduce any				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below).					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	,				
(c) $\boxtimes$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or	simplifying the				
issues for appeal; and/or	simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected cla	ims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely file canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does N application in condition for allowance because:	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which w raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	d and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-21.					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on 11 October 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Exa	aminer.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
Julie Anne Watko Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2653					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) . 09/815,377

Application No.

Continuation of 2.

NOTE: The deletion of an old limitation from claim 1, line 8, and an addition of a new limitation to claim 1, line 8, constitutes a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.